Collapse: Transition of Roman Society #1 "There are almost as many causes _____ for Rome's collapse as there are historians." Just as stated by an American journalist Walter Isaacson, more than 200 _____ ____ _____ the reasons behind the ____ the Roman Empire, including pandemic diseases, declining _____, ____ debasement and _____, ____ of innovation, invasions by surrounding forces, and failure to _____ people, and all of them are _____ some extent. The collapse of the empire is so complicated ______ to a single exclusive cause. _____ keeping mind, we would like to focus here on the aspect of identity among the people; _____ and what united the people. _____ is an _____, ____ an important role in organizing a community and integrating its members, which is clearly _____ in the history of the Roman Empire. _____ foundation of identity for its members is lost, the society can easily _____ or collapse. Diocletian, ____ in A.D. 284, and Constantine, who followed him, experienced a crucial _____ and identity. What had psychologically united the Empire? How _____ ___ ? What can we learn its collapse?

"There are almost as many causes <u>cited</u> for Rome's collapse as there are historians." Just as stated by an American journalist Walter Isaacson, more than 200 <u>theories exist about</u> the reasons behind the <u>fall of</u> the Roman Empire, including pandemic diseases, declining <u>birth rates</u>, <u>currency</u> debasement and <u>inflation</u>, <u>stagnation</u> of innovation, invasions by surrounding forces, and failure to <u>integrate foreign</u> people, and all of them are <u>true to</u> some extent. The collapse of the empire is so complicated <u>that it can never be attributed</u> to a single exclusive cause. <u>While</u> keeping <u>that in</u> mind, we would like to focus here on the aspect of identity among the people; <u>where they found authority</u> and what united the people. <u>Authority</u> is an <u>intangible fiction</u>, <u>but it plays</u> an important role in organizing a community and integrating its members, which is clearly <u>elucidated</u> in the history of the Roman Empire. <u>When the</u> foundation of identity for its members is lost, the society can easily <u>transform</u> or collapse. Diocletian, <u>enthroned</u> in A.D. 284, and Constantine, who followed him, experienced a crucial <u>turning point in authority</u> and identity. What had psychologically united the Empire? How <u>had it been shifted or lost</u>? What can we learn <u>from</u> its collapse?

collapse	崩壊、破綻	collapse	崩壊、破綻
pandemic	感染爆発、パンデミック	pandemic	感染爆発、パンデミック
debasement	(貨幣の) 価値の低下	debasement	(貨幣の) 価値の低下
inflation	インフレ、物価上昇	inflation	インフレ、物価上昇
stagnation	停滞、成長の停止	stagnation	停滞、成長の停止
invasion	侵略、侵入	invasion	侵略、侵入

around A.D. 120, the	Roman Empire controlled _	northern
England, Portugal, and North Africa to	Iraq, effectively making the	a Roman lake.
However, most of its territories were p	rovinces, and they were not _	a centralized
system. The city-state of Rome	countless other city-state	es individually, and the governance
duties, such as taxation and military	, were	to the local leaders. Therefore,
the the empire depended on	whether Rome could	and support from the
regional In other words, the sy	stem worked well as long as	the local leaders Roman
authority and found its culture attractive	e. Among the things that	Rome with other regions, the
most significant was Roman citizenshi		
control by offering Roman citizenship	to local chiefs and ruling clas	sses who
For people in the provinces and foreign	n, Roman citizenshi	p was a symbol of success and
promotion. However, there was a cruci	O 1	• —
of Antoninus,	Roman citizenship to all free	e people within the empire. It might
look like a to estab	lish an state, but the	ne true motivation was
tax. Those holding Roman citizenship	were to an	tax. His repeated extravagance
the national treasury, so he a		
Above all, Roman citizenship	a symbol of authority	primarily because it was granted
to a to b	e a privilege	given to all people. In the end,
Caracalla's measure led to abandoning	the foundation of Rome's go	vernance for centuries, and
gradually, the city of Rome was not	Peop	ole experienced a yet
meaningful shift in perspective from the	e "Empire of the City of Ror	ne" to the "Empire of the Roman
Emperor."		

At its peak around A.D. 120, the Roman Empire controlled what is now northern England, Portugal, and North Africa to Iraq, effectively making the Mediterranean a Roman lake. However, most of its territories were provinces, and they were not <u>ruled through</u> a centralized system. The city-state of Rome governed countless other city-states individually, and the governance duties, such as taxation and military recruitment, were outsourced to the local leaders. Therefore, the rule of the empire depended on whether Rome could gain and retain support from the regional elites. In other words, the system worked well as long as the local leaders admired Roman authority and found its culture attractive. Among the things that tied Rome with other regions, the most significant was Roman citizenship. The city-state of Rome brought various regions under its control by offering Roman citizenship to local chiefs and ruling classes who supported its expansion. For people in the provinces and foreign tribes, Roman citizenship was a symbol of success and promotion. However, there was a crucial turning point in A.D. 212. Emperor Caracalla issued the Constitution of Antoninus, granting Roman citizenship to all free people within the empire. It might look like a noble attempt to establish an egalitarian state, but the true motivation was collecting tax. Those holding Roman citizenship were subject to an inheritance tax. His repeated extravagance depleted the national treasury, so he aimed to overcome the financial crisis by raising tax revenues. Above all, Roman citizenship worked as a symbol of authority primarily because it was granted to a selected few. It ceased to be a privilege when it was given to all people. In the end, Caracalla's measure led to abandoning the foundation of Rome's governance for centuries, and gradually, the city of Rome was not considered distinctive. People experienced a subtle yet meaningful shift in perspective from the "Empire of the City of Rome" to the "Empire of the Roman Emperor."

centralized	中央集権化された	egalitarian	平等主義の、平等主義者
outsource	外注する、外部委託する	inheritance	相続、遺産
retain	保持する、維持する	extravagance	ぜいたく、浪費
elite	エリート、支配層	treasury	国庫、財務省
citizenship	市民権	privilege	特権、恩恵
chief	首長、指導者	distinctive	際立った、独特な

Roman emperors are different from the emperors of Chinese dynasties, the Japanese emperors, or the kings of medieval Europe. For example, the Japanese emperors are said to be the <u>descendants</u> of Amaterasu, the supreme <u>deity</u> in Japanese mythology, and their authority is established through faith and lineage. In contrast, Roman emperors were officially ordinary citizens, not distinguished by religion or blood. This stems from the long history of Rome. Before Imperial Rome began in 27 B.C., the city had nearly 500 years of republican governance, cultivating a cultural resistance to autocracy. Rome's dislike of dictatorship is clearly shown in the careful considerations of Augustus, the first de facto emperor. While virtually holding absolute power, he insisted on calling himself the "first citizen" and at least formally maintained the republican system. For the subsequent 3 centuries, Roman emperors officially remained citizens. As long as they governed wisely and brought peace and prosperity, they earned respect and were even called "the lord" by the people. However, after the death of Marcus Aurelius in A.D. 180, the last of the Five Good Emperors, a series of misrule and political chaos rapidly undermined the emperor's prestige. Assassinations became common, and the throne was even auctioned off. With the loss of authority, the source of power shifted entirely to military support—emperors who lost it were easily replaced or killed. Since A.D. 235, regional armies fought to place their candidates on the throne. In the next 50 years, 26 emperors were enthroned, 24 of whom were either assassinated or killed in war. Emperors were no longer respected. Diocletian, who rose to power in A.D. 284 after settling this period of turmoil, sought to restore the authority of the emperor. He abandoned the idea of the emperor as a citizen, instead asked people to call him "the lord." To further reinforce his authority, he revived the worship of traditional Roman deities. He identified himself as the son of the supreme god Jupiter, and mandated people to worship Roman gods. However, this led to an unexpected result.

lineage	血統、系譜	auction	競売、オークション
autocracy	独裁政治	turmoil	混乱、不安
dictatorship	独裁制、独裁政治	reinforce	強化する
de facto	事実上の	mandate	義務づける、命じる
prestige	威信、名声	descend	由来する、降りる
assassination	暗殺	unexpected	予想外の、思いがけない

When Diocletian took power	in 284, both the	prestige of Roman	n citizenship	and the er	nperor had
already been lost, so he	somet	hing to reunite peo	ple througho	out the vas	t empire. He
rel	igion. He	traditional Ro	man	_ and	the public
to worship them. However, h	e faced		a gr	oup of peo	ple; Christians.
They were not prohibited fro	m practicing the	ir Christian faith. I	Diocletian's 1	request wa	.s
prayin	g to the Christia	n God, but they als	o had to wo	rship the R	Coman
deities. However	, since Christian	ity is a r	eligion, wor	shiping otl	ner gods is
strictly prohibited, and believe	vers resisted the	order	of their	To	Diocletian, who
the Christian faith	, their			Moreo	ver, he could not
disregard their attitude becau					
to a single					
and the	. Across the emp	pire, Christians who	0		their faith
were executed, but Christian	churches resiste	d, producing many		in the pro	cess. Ironically,
this massive persecution exh	ibited the unity,	resilience, and pote	ential influer	nce of Chri	stian
communities. Also, solidarity	•			_	-
the hardship and the	he death of their	believers	. His failure	1	that the
auth					
transitions are in				-	
and people needed a		_	-	_	
Diocletian, came	to realize that the	ne new of id	entity		anything
but Christianity.					

When Diocletian took power in 284, both the prestige of Roman citizenship and the emperor had already been lost, so he sought for something to reunite people throughout the vast empire. He thought it should be religion. He restored traditional Roman deities and obligated the public to worship them. However, he faced strong resistance from a certain group of people; Christians. They were not prohibited from practicing their Christian faith. Diocletian's request was that they could continue praying to the Christian God, but they also had to worship the Roman polytheistic deities. However, since Christianity is a monotheistic religion, worshiping other gods is strictly prohibited, and believers resisted the order at the risk of their lives. To Diocletian, who allowed the Christian faith, their obstinate attitude appeared arrogant. Moreover, he could not disregard their attitude because he thought that the key to achieving <u>lasting</u> peace was <u>universal</u> adherence to a single faith. In 303, Diocletian issued edicts ordering the destruction of the Bible and the arrest of priests. Across the empire, Christians who refused to abandon their faith were executed, but Christian churches resisted, producing many martyrs in the process. Ironically, this massive persecution exhibited the unity, resilience, and potential influence of Christian communities. Also, solidarity among the survivors became even stronger by sharing the memory of enduring the hardship and the death of their fellow believers. His failure implies that the traditional set of authority had already lost its potential to support the rule. Such societal transitions are inevitable in shifting eras. Restoration of traditional authority was no longer possible, and people needed a brand new identity that fits the changing Roman Empire. Constantine, who succeeded Diocletian, came to realize that the new axis of identity couldn't have been anything but Christianity.

prestige	名声、威信	adherence	忠実、固守
reunite	再統合する、再結集させる	edict	勅令、布告
obligated	義務づけられた	martyr	殉教者
prohibited	禁止された	resilience	回復力、耐久性
monotheistic	一神教の	implication	含意、暗示
obstinate	頑固な、強情な	transition	移行、過渡

Diocletian failed to	the o	the of traditional Roman deities		Constantine, chose to
Christianity's influence instead. Traditional polytheism had				and,
the Christian Chur	rch	political influence in many	cities through its	increasing followers and
strong organization. In 3	13, Constantine	issued the of Mila	n, officially	Christianity. Also, he
shifted the empire's center	er to the more _	and christianized	d eastern regions. (Constantine Rome,
which was deeply rooted	in polytheistic t	raditions, and moved the _	to Byzant	ium, later renamed
Constantinople. During t	he 4th century, t	he Roman Empire gradual	ly	a Christian state. After
its 395, t	he relationship b	between the government ar	nd Christianity	each
The Eastern Rom	an Empire, cent	ered in Constantinople, eff	ectively integrated	Christian authority,
becoming the Byzantine	Empire. The Ch	urch supported	by	the emperor as
"God's," wl	nile the emperor	protected the Church and	arbitrated	This system
1453, when Constantinople to the Empire. In the West, Christianity's authority				
independently from	m the governme	nt. Citizens began identify	ing themselves as	"Christians" rather than
"Romans."	had to real	ize that they have to	the	themselves
to maintain unity of their	communities. A	lso, the Catholic Church u	inited cities throug	h the network of
churches. By the 5th century, the Church and unified city-states much like Rome and the emperor had				
done in the 1st and 2nd Centuries. Thus, the Roman Empire didn't collapse but a Christian-				
society. With the expansion of the empire and the transition of the society, traditional authority and				
social had been	, which w	as effectively filled by Ch	ristianity. Yet, why	did Christianity
	? How did a	tiny messianic movement	of displa	ace traditional deities and
become the	of the Roman	n Empire?		

Diocletian failed to restore the status of traditional Roman deities. His successor, Constantine, chose to harness Christianity's influence instead. Traditional polytheism had <u>lost its appeal</u> and <u>cohesion</u>, <u>while</u> the Christian Church <u>had</u> gained political influence in many cities through its increasing followers and strong organization. In 313, Constantine issued the Edict of Milan, officially approving Christianity. Also, he shifted the empire's center to the more prosperous and christianized eastern regions. Constantine left Rome, which was deeply rooted in polytheistic traditions, and moved the <u>capital</u> to Byzantium, later renamed Constantinople. During the 4th century, the Roman Empire gradually <u>evolved</u> <u>into</u> a Christian state. After its division in 395, the relationship between the government and Christianity determined each half's fate. The Eastern Roman Empire, centered in Constantinople, effectively integrated Christian authority, becoming the Byzantine Empire. The Church supported imperial legitimacy by designating the emperor as "God's representative," while the emperor protected the Church and arbitrated theological debates. This system lasted until 1453, when Constantinople <u>fell</u> to the <u>Ottoman</u> Empire. In the West, Christianity's authority <u>grew</u> independently from the government. Citizens began identifying themselves as "Christians" rather than "Romans." Regional elites had to realize that they have to take on the role of bishop themselves to maintain unity of their communities. Also, the Catholic Church united cities through the network of churches. By the 5th century, the Church and pope unified city-states much like Rome and the emperor had done in the 1st and 2nd Centuries. Thus, the Roman Empire didn't collapse but transformed into a Christian-<u>centered</u> society. With the expansion of the empire and the transition of the society, traditional authority and social <u>bonds</u> had been <u>eroded</u>, which was effectively filled by Christianity. Yet, why did Christianity <u>rise in the first place</u>? How did a tiny messianic movement of <u>Judaism</u> displace traditional deities and become the <u>dominant faith</u> of the Roman Empire?

harness	利用する、活用する	independently	独立して、自律的に
cohesion	結束、一体感	unity	統一、まとまり
christianized	キリスト教化された	transform	変革する、変形する
legitimacy	正当性	erode	弱める、蝕む
arbitrate	仲裁する、調停する	messianic	救世主的な、メシア (messia) 信仰に関する
theological	神学の		