One of the biggest challenges for humanity in the 21st Century is to \_\_\_\_\_\_ energy to guarantee the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of a population of 10 billion, \_\_\_\_\_\_ the crises of climate change and an \_\_\_\_\_\_ you might be overwhelmed by the complexity and the scale of this issue. Every possible solution \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_\_, and they often make \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of all nations and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ institutions. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ never be an easy solution, nor a perfect answer. We need to have \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the complicated reality, as well as \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ to continuously \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the problem. Hopefully more people \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ expecting technologies and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the problem.

One of the biggest challenges for humanity in the 21st Century is to provide sufficient energy to guarantee the prosperity of a population of 10 billion, while addressing the crises of climate change and an ecosystem collapse. As soon as you start investigating this matter, you might be overwhelmed by the complexity and the scale of this issue. Every possible solution has its own flaws and strengths, and they often make intricate trade-offs. It requires long-term strenuous effort and a global alliance of all nations and related institutions. Paradoxically, however, if you feel overwhelmed, you are on the right path. There will never be an easy solution, nor a perfect answer. We need to have courage to accept the complicated reality, as well as perseverance to continuously work on the problem. Hopefully more people properly recognize the importance and complexity of this problem without overly expecting technologies and leaning towards ideologies. That is only beginning to truly solve the problem.

sufficient	十分な	trade-off	妥協、代償、トレードオフ
guarantee	保証する、確約する	strenuous	精力的な、熱心な
prosperity	繁栄	alliance	同盟、連合
address (v)	取り組む	paradoxically	逆説的に
investigate	調査する、研究する	perseverance	忍耐強さ
overwhelm	圧倒する、呆然とさせる	complexity	複雑さ
flaw	短所、欠点	ideology	イデオロギー、観念
intiricate	込み入った、複雑な		

The Future of Energy #2

Probably bioethanol makes the best \_\_\_\_\_\_ to understand the complexity of energy problems. Bioethanol is a biofuel \_\_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ of various organic materials, \_\_\_\_\_ from sugarcane and corn. It is expected to \_\_\_\_\_\_ a carbon-neutral \_\_\_\_\_ for fossil fuels, since the carbon released during \_\_\_\_\_\_ was originally the \_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_ by plants. Airplanes and ships cannot be \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_, so some form of clean \_\_\_\_\_ fuel is essential. But it is often criticized for several reasons. First, it's a trade-off between food production and energy. When \_\_\_\_\_ are used for biofuels, it obviously reduces food supplies and leads to food price \_\_\_\_\_. Is it \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_\_ the price of food for the poor? Second, bioethanol has extremely poor \_\_\_\_\_. When we \_\_\_\_\_ a certain energy source, we need to \_\_\_\_\_\_ its energy profit \_\_\_\_\_, or EPR; how much energy is gained from the energy invested. For example, oil \_\_\_\_\_\_ energy for \_\_\_\_\_, refining, and transporting, but we can produce far more energy than the energy invested. The EPR of oil is around 6. In other words, for each \_\_\_\_\_\_ energy \_\_\_\_\_, we get six times the energy \_\_\_\_\_\_. Production of bioethanol also \_\_\_\_\_\_ some input of energy, such as the use of chemical \_\_\_\_\_ and refinement, and EPR is estimated to be about a loss of 0.9. It is like investing \$100 to get \$90. \_\_\_\_\_ we produce \_\_\_\_\_\_ for bioethanol by \_\_\_\_\_\_ oil, using oil directly is clearly more \_\_\_\_\_ than \_\_\_\_\_ get bioethanol.

Probably bioethanol makes the best <u>case</u> to understand the complexity of energy problems. Bioethanol is a biofuel <u>produced through</u> the <u>fermentation</u> of various organic materials, <u>primarily</u> from sugarcane and corn. It is expected to <u>serve as</u> a carbon-neutral <u>substitute</u> for fossil fuels, since the carbon released during <u>combustion</u> was originally the <u>one absorbed from</u> the <u>atmosphere</u> by plants. Airplanes and ships cannot be <u>powered</u> by <u>batteries</u>, so some form of clean <u>liquid</u> fuel is essential. But it is often criticized for several reasons. First, it's a trade-off between food production and energy. When <u>grains</u> are used for biofuels, <u>it</u> obviously reduces food supplies and leads to food price <u>spikes</u>. Is it <u>acceptable</u> to <u>drive up</u> the price of food for the poor? Second, bioethanol has extremely poor <u>cost-effectiveness</u>. When we <u>evaluate</u> a certain energy source, we need to <u>examine</u> its energy profit <u>ratio</u>, or EPR; how much energy is gained from the energy invested. For example, oil <u>consumes</u> energy for <u>drilling</u>, refining, and transporting, but we can produce far more energy than the energy invested. The EPR of oil is around 6. In other words, for each <u>unit of energy spent</u>, we get six times the energy <u>in return</u>. Production of bioethanol also <u>requires</u> some input of energy, such as the use of chemical <u>fertilizers</u> and refinement, and EPR is estimated to be about a loss of 0.9. It is like investing \$100 to get \$90. If we produce <u>fertilizers</u> for bioethanol by <u>burning</u> oil, using oil directly is clearly more <u>efficient</u> than <u>using it to get</u> bioethanol.

fermentation	発酵	spike	急上昇
sugercane	サトウキビ	evaluate	評価する
substitute	代替、代理品	examine	調べる
combustion	燃焼	refine	精製する
absorb	吸収する	fertilizer	肥料
supply	供給		

The Future of Energy #3

Talking about energy is \_\_\_\_\_\_ talking about the economy. We \_\_\_\_\_ the future of energy without taking the \_\_\_\_\_ into \_\_\_\_\_. a variety of \_\_\_\_\_ fossil fuels, we are still largely dependent on them \_\_\_\_\_ because they are so . This might be hard to believe, but oil is actually cheaper than a soft drink. The price of oil is \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ usually less than \$1 per litter. This is \_\_\_\_\_\_ because its \_\_\_\_\_\_ costs, such as those resulting \_\_\_\_\_\_ environmental damage, are not reflected in the oil price. Customers less expensive products, and so do companies. It is unrealistic to go \_\_\_\_\_\_ each and every consumer, \_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ the carbon footprint of products and choose eco-friendly ones \_\_\_\_\_\_ they are expensive. Rather, we should \_\_\_\_\_\_ a market condition \_\_\_\_\_\_ people voluntarily and \_\_\_\_\_\_ take \_\_\_\_\_ actions. In the past, we've \_\_\_\_\_\_ one source of energy to another because the new one was cheaper and more powerful. For example, \_\_\_\_\_ we stopped burning firewood and started using coal, it was because coal provided a lot more \_\_\_\_\_ more \_\_\_\_\_ wood. If products with high carbon emission are , both consumers and businesses will \_\_\_\_\_\_ avoid them. What we \_\_\_\_\_ need is two kinds of innovation: technological innovation to make sustainable energy cheaper, such as \_\_\_\_\_\_ the efficiency of solar power generation, and \_\_\_\_\_\_ innovation to make carbon- energy more expensive, including a carbon .

Talking about energy is virtually equal to talking about the economy. We cannot discuss the future of energy without taking the market principle into account. Despite a variety of concerns about fossil fuels, we are still largely dependent on them primarily because they are so inexpensive. This might be hard to believe, but oil is actually cheaper than a soft drink. The price of oil is constantly fluctuating, but it has been usually less than \$1 per litter. This is partly because its external costs, such as those resulting from environmental damage, are not reflected in the oil price. Customers prefer less expensive products, and so do companies. It is unrealistic to go around each and every consumer, persuading them to examine the carbon footprint of products and choose eco-friendly ones even if they are expensive. Rather, we should create a market condition where people voluntarily and willingly take preferable actions. In the past, we've moved from one source of energy to another because the new one was cheaper and more powerful. For example, when we stopped burning firewood and started using coal, it was because coal provided a lot more heat more efficiently than wood. If products with high carbon emission are costly, both consumers and businesses will spontaneously avoid them. What we truly need is two kinds of innovation: technological innovation to make sustainable energy cheaper, such as improving the efficiency of solar power generation, and policy innovation to make carbonemitting energy more expensive, including a carbon tax.

virtually	実質的に	preferable	好ましい、適した
principle	原理	emission	排出、放出
fluctuate	変動する	spontaneously	自発的に
external	外部の、外側の		

As of 2023, there is no \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ solution to energy problems. Renewable energy sources, particularly solar and wind \_\_\_\_\_\_, are considered to be the \_\_\_\_\_\_, but they \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ disadvantages. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is \_\_\_\_\_\_ are \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ sources of energy. Obviously, solar panels and wind turbines cannot \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the night and on windless days, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Seasonal \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ also \_\_\_\_\_\_ serious challenges. The amount of sunlight that hits the earth's surface \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ across the four seasons, and the variation depends on how far the place is from the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Parts of Canada and Russia get about 12 times less sunlight in winter than in summer. \_\_\_\_\_\_ the \_\_\_\_\_\_ the renewables \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, electricity demand is relatively \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. In order to fill those gaps, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ we have to use other reliable sources \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, such as thermal or nuclear power, or to store electricity in \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ is extremely \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. One August, Tokyo is \_\_\_\_\_\_ by a massive typhoons that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ for three days. They cannot \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ solar energy \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the storm, and the winds are so strong that they will \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the wind turbines \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The answer is more than 14 million \_\_\_\_\_\_\_; more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ solar and wind power \_\_\_\_\_\_? The answer to choose the risk and cost of carbon emission or \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Not will have to utilize thermal or nuclear power to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the fluctuation of solar and wind, which \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The answer is more than 14 million \_\_\_\_\_\_\_; more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Solar and wind power \_\_\_\_\_\_? The answer is more than 14 million \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_; more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Solar and wind produces in seven years. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Considering the cost of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Solar and wind produces in seven years. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Considering the cost of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Solar and wind produces in seven years. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Considering the cost of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. The more \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Solar and wind produces in seven years. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Considering the cost

As of 2023, there is no perfect solution to energy problems. Renewable energy sources, particularly solar and wind power, are considered to be the favorites, but they have inherent disadvantages. The prominent downside is that they are weatherdependent sources of energy. Obviously, solar panels and wind turbines cannot operate during the night and on windless days, respectively. Seasonal variations also pose serious challenges. The amount of sunlight that hits the earth's surface varies across the four seasons, and the variation depends on how far the place is from the equator. Parts of Canada and Russia get about 12 times less sunlight in winter than in summer. While the supply from the renewables dramatically fluctuates, electricity demand is relatively stable. In order to fill those gaps, either we have to use other reliable sources complementarily, such as thermal or nuclear power, or to store electricity in <u>batteries</u>. The <u>latter</u> is extremely <u>costly</u>. Imagine a hypothetical future where Tokyo gets all its electricity necessary from wind and solar power alone. One August, Tokyo is hit by a massive typhoons that last for three days. They cannot harness solar energy during the storm, and the winds are so strong that they will rip the wind turbines apart if they aren't shut down. How many batteries would they need in order to power Tokyo for three days, until the typhoon passes and they can utilize solar and wind power again? The answer is more than 14 million batteries; more storage capacity than the world produces in seven years. The purchase cost will be about ¥3 trillion annually, averaged over the lifetime of the batteries. Considering the cost of batteries, we will have to utilize thermal or nuclear power to adjust the fluctuation of solar and wind, which poses the next question of whether to choose the risk and cost of carbon emission or those with preserving spent nuclear fuel. The energy issue always involves trade-offs between various factors such as environmental impacts, reliability, generation costs, and so on.

inherent	固有の、生まれつきの	stable	安定的な
prominent	顕著な、傑出した	reliable	信頼性のある
downside	否定的な側面	complimentarily	補完的に
respectively	それぞれ、各々	harness	動力化する、利用する
vary	異なる	rip	引き裂く、剥ぎ取る
equator	赤道	preserve	保存する

## The Future of Energy #5

Nobody wants to experience 2020 \_\_\_\_\_\_ to the COVID-19 pandemic, we were \_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ our homes, a lot of businesses went \_\_\_\_\_\_, and millions of people \_\_\_\_\_ their jobs. \_\_\_\_\_ all this \_\_\_\_\_, the \_\_\_\_\_ of economic activities \_\_\_\_\_\_ only a 4.5% decrease in \_\_\_\_\_ compared to the previous year. The \_\_\_\_\_ is that the energy issue cannot be \_\_\_\_\_ by simple saving \_\_\_\_\_\_. Of course, it is important to cut \_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ never be enough. Rather, we will need more energy consumption in the future. The world population is going to reach 10 billion, and a billion people \_\_\_\_\_\_ don't have \_\_\_\_\_access to electricity. There is a strong \_\_\_\_\_\_between a country's \_\_\_\_ income and the amount of energy used by its people. As \_\_\_\_\_\_, we have to provide reading light to study in the evenings, \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_, and infrastructure to \_\_\_\_\_\_ clean and cheap water for all of us on this planet. The world \_\_\_\_\_\_ more energy so that all of us \_\_\_\_\_, including the \_\_\_\_\_, but we need to do this \_\_\_\_\_ releasing any more \_\_\_\_\_\_. This is impossible \_\_\_\_\_\_ technologies. Therefore, we have to \_\_\_\_\_\_ more resources into Research and Development, \_\_\_\_\_\_ to improve the capacity and cost performance of \_\_\_\_\_\_, increase the efficiency of renewables, make nuclear \_\_\_\_\_ commercially \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_ a smart grid that enables efficient \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ within a community by \_\_\_\_\_ energy \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_, and so on. of us all, but \_\_\_\_\_\_ consumption of energy does not necessarily make us happier. Therefore, human society needs to \_\_\_\_\_\_ in two ways; technological maturity to power everyone reliably and sustainably, and psychological maturity to find happiness \_\_\_\_\_\_ we already have.

Nobody wants to experience 2020 again. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we were confined to our homes, a lot of businesses went <u>bankrupt</u>, and millions of people lost their jobs. Despite all this agony, the suspension of economic activities led to only a 4.5% decrease in carbon emissions compared to the previous year. The implication is that the energy issue cannot be solved by simple saving efforts alone. Of course, it is important to cut down on waste. But it will never be enough. Rather, we will need more energy consumption in the future. The world population is going to reach 10 billion, and a billion people still don't have reliable access to electricity. There is a strong correlation between a country's per capita income and the amount of energy used by its people. As humanitarian duties, we have to provide reading light to study in the evenings, refrigeration to store vaccines, and infrastructure to ensure clean and cheap water for all of us on this planet. The world requires more energy so that all of us thrive, including the poorest, but we need to do this without releasing any more greenhouse gasses. This is impossible with existing technologies. Therefore, we have to invest more resources into Research and Development, specifically to improve the capacity and cost performance of batteries, increase the efficiency of renewables, make nuclear fusion commercially viable, establish a smart grid that enables efficient redistribution of power within a community by monitoring energy demand and supply, and so on. At the same time, a number of studies suggest that our well-being and income do not correlate beyond a certain point. Sufficient energy supply is essential to ensure the welfare of us all, but abundant consumption of energy does not necessarily make us happier. Therefore, human society needs to mature in two ways; technological maturity to power everyone reliably and sustainably, and psychological maturity to find happiness out of what we already have.

confine	監禁する	humanitarian	人道的な
bankrupt	破産した、倒産した	refrigeration	冷蔵、冷却設備
agony	苦痛、苦悩	thrive	栄える、よく育つ
suspension	一時的停止、中断	viable	実現可能な
implication	示唆、含意	redistribution	再配分
correlation	相関関係	mature	成熟した
per capita	一人あたり		