

In the 21st century, science fiction is \_\_\_\_\_\_ the most important \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the arts. We are living a time \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ truly understand how fast the world is changing. The radical \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of society is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ progress of science and technology. Developments in AI and robotics are increasingly changing both our \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_\_ lives. \_\_\_\_\_\_ and evolutionary psychology are \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ our \_\_\_\_\_\_ human nature. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and genetic engineering may \_\_\_\_\_\_ replace the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ concepts of the universe and life. However, the majority of the \_\_\_\_\_\_ don't read technical books and science \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ on the latest technologies. Instead, they watch movies like \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, science fiction plays a \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ in shaping how most people understand things such as artificial intelligence, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, and climate change. Science fiction has created our dreams \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ for the future, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ insights into human nature and society, and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ for potential challenges for humanity. What can we \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ science fiction?

In the 21st century, science fiction is <u>perhaps</u> the most important <u>genre</u> in the arts. We are living a time <u>where none of us</u> truly understand how fast the world is changing. The radical <u>transformation</u> of society is <u>attributed to the exponential</u> progress of science and technology. Developments in AI and robotics are increasingly changing both our <u>private</u> and <u>professional</u> lives. <u>Neuroscience</u> and evolutionary psychology are <u>shattering</u> our <u>illusion about</u> human nature. <u>Quantum mechanics</u> and genetic engineering may <u>totally</u> replace the <u>traditional</u> concepts of the universe and life. However, the majority of the <u>population</u> don't read technical books and science journals on the latest technologies. Instead, they watch movies like <u>Matrix</u> and Avatar, and read novels such as *The Three-Body Problem*. <u>Consequently</u>, science fiction plays a key role in shaping how most people understand things such as artificial intelligence, bioengineering, and climate change. Science fiction has created our dreams <u>and ideals</u> for the future, <u>provided</u> insights into human nature and society, and <u>sounded the alarm</u> for potential challenges for humanity. What can we <u>learn from</u> science fiction?

genre	ジャンル	evolutionary psychology	進化心理学
radical	抜本的な	shatter	粉砕する
attribute	~のせいにする	quantum mechanics	量子力学
exponential	加速度的な、指数関数的な	genetic engineering	遺伝子工学
neuroscience	神経科学	consequently	その結果



Perhaps no other movie in recent years has provided as much \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_ and technologists as Iron Man in 2008. Tony Stark is a \_\_\_\_\_ and tech genius, \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ fights \_\_\_\_\_\_ with a high-tech flying \_\_\_\_\_\_ he invented by himself. He has his own personal \_\_\_\_\_\_ AI named J.A.R.V.I.S. \_\_\_\_\_\_ in the workshop or on battlefields, J.A.R.V.I.S. talks \_\_\_\_\_\_ with Stark with a \_\_\_\_\_, dry, and \_\_\_\_\_\_sense of \_\_\_\_\_\_. Stark can \_\_\_\_\_\_ inventions to his AI, \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ can team up on their design and construction. This cool and sophisticated of AI stimulated the \_\_\_\_\_ in this field. As of 2023, the latest machine learning algorithms problem-solving capabilities equivalent to J.A.R.V.I.S. \_\_\_\_\_AIs including ChatGPT are only one step \_\_\_\_\_\_ communicating like J.A.R.V.I.S. Speech recognition and \_\_\_\_\_ have also improved in recent years. 15 years after *Iron Man*, we already have individual technologies \_\_\_\_\_\_ Stark's AI. Similarly, \_\_\_\_\_ the popularity of *the Jurassic* Park series, there was a growing interest among the public for \_\_\_\_\_ DNA research, leading the media to focus \_\_\_\_\_\_ this field. As a result, more researchers \_\_\_\_\_\_ this and research increased. Progress and invention are by our excitement and curiosity, and these powerful emotions have often been \_\_\_\_\_ by inspiring fictions.

Perhaps no other movie in recent years has provided as much <u>inspiration</u> to <u>entrepreneurs</u> and technologists as *Iron Man* in 2008. Tony Stark is a <u>billionaire</u> and tech genius, <u>and he</u> fights <u>villains</u> with a high-tech flying <u>suit</u> of armor he invented by himself. He has his own personal <u>assistant</u> AI named J.A.R.V.I.S. <u>Whether it is</u> in the workshop or on battlefields, J.A.R.V.I.S. talks <u>naturally</u> with Stark with a <u>witty</u>, dry, and <u>sarcastic</u> sense of <u>humor</u>. Stark can <u>describe potential</u> inventions to his AI, <u>and then they</u> can team up on their design and construction. This cool and sophisticated <u>depiction</u> of AI stimulated the <u>development</u> in this field. As of 2023, the latest machine learning algorithms <u>possess</u> problem-solving capabilities equivalent to J.A.R.V.I.S. <u>Text</u> generative AIs including ChatGPT are only one step <u>away from</u> communicating like J.A.R.V.I.S. Speech recognition and <u>text-to-speech software</u> have also improved <u>dramatically</u> in recent years. 15 years after *Iron Man*, we already have individual technologies <u>required for</u> Stark's AI. Similarly, <u>with</u> the popularity of *the Jurassic Park* series, there was a growing interest among the public for <u>ancient</u> DNA research, leading the media to focus <u>more on this field</u>. As a result, more researchers <u>entered</u> this <u>realm</u> and research, leading the media to focus <u>more on this field</u>. As a result, more researchers <u>entered</u> this <u>realm</u> and research funding increased. Progress and invention are <u>fueled</u> by our excitement and curiosity, and these powerful emotions have often been <u>provoked</u> by inspiring fictions.

entrepreneur	起業家	depiction	描写、叙述
villain	悪役	stimulate	刺激する
workshop	作業場	equivalent	同等の
witty	機知に富んだ、軽妙な	realm	領域、分野
sarcastic	皮肉な	provoke	誘発する



The 21st Century may be the last <u>era where we</u> live as Homo Sapiens. It doesn't mean that we would <u>fail to</u> survive, <u>but</u> <u>rather</u> we <u>may evolve</u> into something different. *Childhood's End* in 1952 by Arthur C. Clarke, <u>renowned as</u> a masterpiece in the history of science fiction, <u>depicts</u> the transformation of humanity.

Humankind is composed of single individuals with a concrete identity. At the end of the story, however, newly-born children cease to be the individuals, and merge into the Overmind, a vast cosmic intelligence. They abandon their separated personality, becoming part of a single group consciousness. In other words, humanity evolves into a collective intelligence, where all individuals share their minds.

Does this <u>sound</u> ridiculous and <u>outlandish</u>? Today, humanity has already begun to behave as a single unified mind. When we choose a restaurant, we <u>refer to reviews</u> by millions of other anonymous individuals. When we buy something on Amazon or look for a video on Youtube, we follow suggestions by <u>algorithms</u> that <u>learn from</u> billions of other users. When a significant <u>event occurs</u> for humanity, <u>numerous opinions</u> and <u>interpretations</u> immediately <u>emerge</u> on social media and people around the world <u>quickly form</u> a consensus on the issue. People want to be part of the data flow; they <u>record</u> and share everything about their lives, and make choices based on <u>these</u> data from billions of other people. <u>Once</u> technologies like Brain-Computer <u>Interfaces</u> are <u>put into</u> practical use and enable our brains to directly connect to the Internet, the unification of humanity <u>as</u> a species will be <u>virtually</u> accomplished. Did the author foresee this trend <u>back in</u> 1952?

renowned	著名な、名声のある	anonymous	匿名の
compose	~を構成する	numerous	多数の
cease	やめる、終える	emerge	現れる、台頭する
abandon	放棄する	virtually	実質的に、事実上
consciousness	意識、自覚	foresee	予見する
outlandish	奇妙な、意味不明な		



Disney has built its dominance by retelling one \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ different movies. In \_\_\_\_\_\_ Disney films, the heroes \_\_\_\_\_\_ challenges, but they \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ by finding their true self, following their hearts and making their own decisions. However, in 2015, the same studio \_\_\_\_\_\_a movie that \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ this \_\_\_\_\_\_ *Inside Out* tells the story of an 11year-old girl, Riley, who \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Minnesota to San Francisco \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ family. \_\_\_\_\_\_, it is a \_\_\_\_\_\_ happy-ending story that depicts how she overcomes difficulties adjusting to her new life. But actually it is a \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ no free will based on the latest neuroscience. Unlike Elsa in *Frozen* or Rapunzel in *Tangled*, Riley never discovers her true self, \_\_\_\_\_\_ she make any free choices. Riley is in fact a huge robot controlled by various characters in brain. The movie \_\_\_\_\_\_ biochemical mechanisms of the human brain as a \_\_\_\_\_\_, decisions, and behaviors by \_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ the jum and control all of her \_\_\_\_\_\_, decisions, and behaviors by \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ movie, you will never find any single authentic self that integrates and \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ of neurological mechanisms that \_\_\_\_\_\_ various emotions. When *Joy* took a \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ and *Sadness* pressed the button, Riley was able to \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ her \_\_\_\_\_\_ to her \_\_\_\_\_\_. Similarly, when you want to hug your family, it is not a reflection of your free choice, but because of the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. Similarly, when you want to hug your family, it is not a reflection of your free choice, but because of the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. In happy-ending taste. Most people don't want to hear the message that we are just biochemical algorithms.

Disney has built its dominance by retelling one myth through different movies. In countless Disney films, the heroes face challenges, but they eventually triumph by finding their true self, following their hearts and making their own decisions. However, in 2015, the same studio released a movie that brutally shattered this myth. Inside Out tells the story of an 11year-old girl, Riley, who moves from Minnesota to San Francisco with her family. Officially, it is a comedic happy-ending story that depicts how she overcomes difficulties adjusting to her new life. But actually it is a suggestion that there is no free will based on the latest neuroscience. Unlike Elsa in Frozen or Rapunzel in Tangled, Riley never discovers her true self, nor does she make any free choices. Riley is in fact a huge robot controlled by various characters in her brain. The movie personifies biochemical mechanisms of the human brain as a set of cartoon characters, such as the yellow and cheerful Joy, the blue and gloomy Sadness, and so on. They watch over Riley's life on a huge screen in her brain's headquarters and control all of her moods, decisions, and behaviors by manipulating buttons and levers. Throughout the whole movie, you will never find any single authentic self that integrates and determines Riley's identity. Rather, you will learn that her well-being depends on the effective interaction of neurological mechanisms that prompt various emotions. When Joy took a step back and Sadness pressed the button, Riley was able to confide her sorrow to her parents. Similarly, when you want to hug your family, it is not a reflection of your free choice, but because of the action of hormones like oxytocin. Inside Out became a blockbuster around the world, perhaps because it wrapped its neurological implications in a happy-ending taste. Most people don't want to hear the message that we are just biochemical algorithms.

dominance	優勢、独占、支配	manipulate	操作する
triumph	勝利を収める	authentic	本物の、正真正銘の
free will	自由意志	neurological	神経系の
personify	擬人化する	confide	打ち明ける
biochemical	生化学の	blockbuster	大ヒット作
headquarters	本部、総司令部	implication	示唆、含意



There is a famous \_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_, "the road to hell is \_\_\_\_\_\_ good intentions," and our RIPPLE ENGLISH \_\_\_\_\_ towards a dystopian future will indeed follow this \_\_\_\_\_\_. Numerous science-fiction \_\_\_\_\_\_ potential dystopia, including 1984 by George Orwell. Many of these societies are obviously \_\_\_\_\_, and ordinary people often struggle against \_\_\_\_\_ by \_\_\_\_\_. But that is not likely to happen in reality. Much more likely to happen is that people voluntarily a \_\_\_\_\_\_ to dystopia consists of a lot of steps, \_\_\_\_\_\_ the entire staircase, we realize that it was the way to dystopia. *The Circle* in 2013 \_\_\_\_\_\_ ordinary citizens who are \_\_\_\_\_\_ proceeding towards surveillance society. A big \_\_\_\_\_\_ company named The Circle \_\_\_\_\_\_ a social media platform, and the company \_\_\_\_\_\_people to share everything about their lives in exchange for convenience and \_\_\_\_\_\_. Users share their private \_\_\_\_\_\_ a better reaction \_\_\_\_\_\_ other users. They \_\_\_\_\_\_ their biometric data, such as their \_\_\_\_\_ levels, for better healthcare services. The citizens gradually surveillance \_\_\_\_\_\_ around the world, believing that transparency will reduce crimes and make society safer. Some people \_\_\_\_\_\_ such radical transparency, but they \_\_\_\_\_\_ come under suspicion controlled society without any dictator or the \_\_\_\_\_\_. Today, Humanity is already on the way towards such a surveillance society. We are happy to \_\_\_\_\_\_ our personal data in exchange for \_\_\_\_\_\_ information, free email services, social \_\_\_\_\_, and funny cat videos. The early 16th century political philosopher Niccoló Machiavelli said that we should "learn the way to hell in order to \_\_\_\_\_\_ As depicted in *The Circle*, the journey towards dystopia will not look horrifying, but rather

There is a famous saying that goes, "the road to hell is paved with good intentions," and our path towards a dystopian future will indeed follow this quote. Numerous science-fiction writers have depicted potential dystopia, including 1984 by George Orwell. Many of these societies are obviously frightening, and ordinary people often struggle against suppression by the authorities. But that is not likely to happen in reality. Much more likely to happen is that people voluntarily move towards a nightmarish world. Our path to dystopia consists of a lot of steps, each of which looks quite beneficial and attractive. But once we have walked up through the entire staircase, we realize that it was the way to dystopia. The Circle in 2013 portrays ordinary citizens who are willingly proceeding towards surveillance society. A big tech company named The Circle runs a social media platform, and the company asks people to share everything about their lives in exchange for convenience and entertainment. Users share their private lives to get a better reaction from other users. They give away their biometric data, such as their blood pressure levels, for better healthcare services. The citizens gradually accept surveillance cameras around the world, believing that transparency will reduce crimes and make society safer. Some people oppose such radical transparency, but they in turn come under suspicion that they must have something to hide. Consequently, people gradually and voluntarily step into a controlled society without any dictator or the secret police. Today, Humanity is already on the way towards such a surveillance society. We are happy to give up our personal data in exchange for quick information, free email services, social approval, and funny cat videos. The early 16th century political philosopher Niccoló Machiavelli said that we should "learn the way to hell in order to flee from it." As depicted in *The Circle*, the journey towards dystopia will not look horrifying, but rather <u>irresistibly captivating</u>.

pave	舗装する	portray	表現する、描く
dystopia	ディストピア (理想郷の逆)	surveillance	監視、見張り
suppression	抑圧	transparency	透明性
authority	権力、当局	suspicion	疑惑
voluntarily	自発的に、自ら進んで	flee	逃れる
nightmarish	悪夢のような	irresistible	たまらなく魅力的な
staircase	階段	captivating	魅力的な