

English, what kind of least	rning	comes to	
having conversation lessons		_ native speakers, but,	
is terribly inefficient, especially	y for	and	
ers.			
3,000	hours for	Japanese learners to	
arning a language		effort, but	
_, we need to choose the most	way	<i>I</i> .	
scientific studies have	shown _	and	
ding to the research	Language	e Acquisition, there	
requirements for effective learning;		_ of	
ity output. Input-	based lear	rning is particularly	
they mean? Why are they	y importai	nt? How can we	
the effectively?			
	having conversation lessons is terribly inefficient, especially ers.  3,000 arning a language		

When you decide to <u>acquire</u> English, what kind of learning <u>method</u> comes to mind? Many people <u>think of</u> having conversation lessons <u>with</u> native speakers, but, in fact, <u>this alone</u> is terribly inefficient, especially for <u>entry-level</u> and <u>intermediate</u> learners. One study shows <u>that it takes about</u> 3,000 hours for Japanese learners to acquire English. Learning a language <u>requires a lot of</u> effort, but <u>since</u> our time is <u>limited</u>, we need to choose the most <u>efficient</u> way.

Fortunately, <u>a series of scientific studies have shown what works</u> and what doesn't. According to the research <u>of Second Language Acquisition</u>, there are 2 <u>essential</u> requirements for effective learning; <u>repetition</u> of <u>comprehensible</u> input and the necessity <u>to produce</u> output. Input-based learning is particularly important. What do they <u>specifically</u> mean? Why are they important? How can we improve our English the <u>most</u> effectively?

acquire	獲得する	requirements	条件、要件
efficient	効率的な	repetition	反復、繰り返し
intermediate	中級の、中間の	comprehensible	理解できる
fortunately	幸運にも	necessity	必要性

	_ to popular	, the	of researchers agr	ree that input-based	
learning is ac	tually the most _	most factor in language acquisition. Learners have to			
be		to input that	is both meaningful and con	mprehensible. More	
	, they need to 1	read or listen t	so sentences that they can u	understand, over	
and over	Just havin	g speaking les	sons without i	nput learning	
t	oeginner soccer p	olayer skippin	g practice and only playing	g in games. Without	
listening com	prehension to un	nderstand wha	t others are saying or		
vocabulary _	to		,	can you do in	
speaking less	ons? Furthermor	e, If English l	anguage learners are	speak	
English		_ input learnin	g, it can cause negative co	nsequences. One	
such case is t	he	of incorrec	et expressions. For instance	e, "What	
•			on, Japanese learners of E		
to	the incorre	ect expression	"How do you think about	it?" after a popular	
phrase		·	limited voca	abulary and	
grammar kno	wledge in Englis	sh, learners ha	eve to	native language	
habits and pr	oduce unnatural	and incorrect	expressions, which can be	come	
	repetition.				

Contrary to popular belief, the majority of researchers agree that input-based learning is actually the most important factor in language acquisition. Learners have to be repeatedly exposed to input that is both meaningful and comprehensible. More specifically, they need to read or listen to sentences that they can understand, over and over again. Just having speaking lessons without sufficient input learning is like a beginner soccer player skipping practice and only playing in games. Without listening comprehension to understand what others are saying or an enough vocabulary stock to express your thoughts, what on earth can you do in speaking lessons? Furthermore, If English language learners are forced to speak English without enough input learning, it can cause negative consequences. One such case is the solidification of incorrect expressions. For instance, while "What do you think about it?" is a natural expression, Japanese learners of English are prone to producing the incorrect expression "How do you think about it?" after a popular phrase in their mother tongue. Due to limited vocabulary and grammar knowledge in English, learners have to rely on their native language habits and produce unnatural and incorrect expressions, which can become ingrained through repetition.

expose	さらす、触れさせる	incorrect	誤った
sufficient	十分な	prone	傾向がある、~しがちな
comprehension	理解力、理解	mother tongue	母語
consequence	結果、成り行き	ingrain	染み込ませる、根付かせる
solidification	固着、定着		

In learning English, we need to	expectations and			
about human memory a very f				
, we Homo sapiens cannot memo	rize things for a long we			
repeat them enough. The vocabulary you learn	ned today will probably be a			
week Even if you remember, we nee	ed to ask ourselves whether we can			
immediately as soon as you	the word real conversation.			
a few seconds to bring b	back its meaning, in practical,			
you don't know the word. This	is also the case for grammar. Studies on			
have shown that	knowledge we the			
classroom is mostly forgotten in a couple of n	nonths. Moreover, having knowledge			
doesn't necessarily mean that we can	practice. What we learn			
needs to be	, knowledge			
we don't have to consciously think a	bout how to use it Our			
is limited; we cannot	ot focus on multiple things all at one time.			
	by grammatical, we cannot pay			
attention to communicating and understanding	g We need to practice			
it's deeply	long-term memory.			

In learning English, we need to <u>abandon excessive</u> expectations and <u>illusions</u> about human memory. <u>Except for</u> a very few individuals <u>with exceptional retention</u>, we Homo sapiens cannot memorize things for a long <u>term unless</u> we repeat them enough. The vocabulary you learned today will probably be <u>forgotten</u> a week <u>later</u>. Even if you remember, we need to ask ourselves whether we can <u>recall</u> it immediately as soon as you <u>hear</u> the word <u>in</u> real conversation. <u>If it takes</u> a few seconds to bring back its meaning, in practical <u>terms</u>, you <u>effectively</u> don't know the word. This is also the case for grammar. Studies on <u>college students</u> have shown that <u>grammatical</u> knowledge we <u>learn in</u> the classroom is mostly forgotten in a couple of months. Moreover, having knowledge doesn't necessarily mean that we can <u>use it in</u> practice. What we learn <u>explicitly</u> needs to be <u>turned into automated</u>, <u>subconscious</u> knowledge <u>with which</u> we don't have to consciously think about how to use it <u>correctly</u>. Our <u>cognitive capacity</u> is limited; we cannot focus on multiple things all at one time. <u>When that limited capacity is occupied by grammatical rules</u>, we cannot pay attention to communicating and understanding <u>messages</u>. We need to practice <u>repeatedly until</u> it's deeply <u>rooted in our long-term memory</u>.

abandon	捨て去る	subconscious	無意識の、潜在意識の
exceptional	卓越した、並外れた	cognitive	認知の、認知的な
retention	保持、記憶力	multiple	多数の
explicitly	明白に、あからさまに	occupy	占める、占拠する
automate	自動化する		

There is no SLA researcher	who denies the		of input-based lea	arning, but	what do they
say about output learning, s	uch as speaking and w	riting? _			researchers are
the effectiv					
opportunities to	output can		learning,		
they generally a					
the following	two reasons. First,		to pay o	closer atten	tion to the
language. For example, who					
focus on th	ne that woo	od is the _		of paper,	and we usually
don't care whether they said					
have to know the	between "of" and	"from."	Second, an	to pi	roduce output
increases the motivation an	d effectiveness of inpu	t learning	g. If you know tha	t you have	to explain the
to	, you	1	nore than when y	ou	by
yourself, w					
	,		be the	next	for
input learning.					
output learning,					
facilitate learning		t	o language and m	otivation. N	Nonetheless,
input-based learning					
output practice can enhance	e learning efficiency.				

There is no SLA researcher who denies the <u>importance</u> of input-based learning, but what do they say about output learning, such as speaking and writing? While opinions among researchers are divided on the effectiveness of output learning, it seems that having a small amount of opportunities to produce output can possibly facilitate learning, based on areas where they generally agree. Researchers argue that output learning can be effective for <u>roughly</u> the following two reasons. First, <u>it requires</u> us to pay closer attention to the language. For example, when we listen to someone saying "paper is made from wood," we only focus on comprehending the message that wood is the raw material of paper, and we usually don't care whether they said "made of" or "made from." However, when we speak or write about it, we have to know the distinction between "of" and "from." Second, an opportunity to produce output increases the motivation and effectiveness of input learning. If you know that you have to explain the article to your peers, you will concentrate more than when you read it by yourself. Additionally, when you have a chance to speak, you will notice expressions you wanted to say but you couldn't, which will be the next target for further input learning. While researchers have varying opinions on the effectiveness of output learning, it is commonly believed that having some opportunities for output can facilitate learning due to increased attention to language and motivation. Nonetheless, input-based learning remains essential, and combining it with a small amount of output practice can enhance learning efficiency.

facilitate	円滑にする、促進する	peer	仲間、同輩
raw material	原材料	nonetheless	それでもやはり
distinction	区別、差異	enhance	高める

The last	for Englis	h learners is to read _	boo	oks in Japanese.
When we read or li	sten to languages, we use	e two ways	to understand	d the message.
One way is called t	he bottom-up process, in	which we build the r	neaning by picking u	p individual
words and	acco	ording to grammatica	l rules. The other wa	y is called the
top-down process _		the messages based	on existing knowleds	ge and the
conte	ext. Studies	psychology hav	e shown that people	a high
level of language _	are more	e likely to use the top	-down process	They
	vast knowledge to forec	east the	message in a top-dow	vn way, and then
a botton	n-up approach to		is correct.	Making sense of
English is not some	ething that can be done _		grammar and voca	bulary
Instead,	we should	a process to	make a reasonable co	ontext based on
what you	read	not only	_ English skills, but a	lso
knowledge,	, and a	ibility to	Fo	or example,
comprehending a te	echnical presentation on A	Artificial Intelligence	heavily _	
knowledg	e. Even if you have excel	llent English skills, y	ou may	to make sense of
the talk without a b	asic understanding of the	. However,	if you have some	
knowledge,	reading a	a book or watching a	documentary, you car	n more easily
understand the pres	entation	English	is not high, l	because you can
your	knowledge to	_ the content.		

The last <u>piece of advice</u> for English learners is to read a <u>lot of</u> books in Japanese. When we read or listen to languages, we use two ways simultaneously to understand the message. One way is called the bottom-up process, in which we build the meaning by picking up individual words and putting them together according to grammatical rules. The other way is called the top-down process where we predict the messages based on existing knowledge and the previous context. Studies from cognitive psychology have shown that people with a high level of language comprehension are more likely to use the top-down process effectively. They rely on their vast knowledge to forecast the upcoming message in a top-down way, and then employ a bottom-up approach to verify that their hypothesis is correct. Making sense of English is not something that can be done automatically with grammar and vocabulary alone. Instead, we should view it as a process to make a reasonable context based on what you hear or read. It requires not only pure English skills, but also extensive knowledge, profound insight, and ability to generate hypotheses. For example, comprehending a technical presentation on Artificial Intelligence depends heavily on your prior knowledge. Even if you have excellent English skills, you may struggle to make sense of the talk without a basic understanding of the topic. However, if you have some background knowledge, such as from reading a book or watching a documentary, you can more easily understand the presentation even if your English proficiency is not high, because you can leverage your knowledge to predict the content.

simultaneously	同時に	hypothesis	仮説
existing	既存の	extensive	幅広い、多方面に渡る
forecast	予測する	proficiency	熟達、技量
upcoming	来るべき、近く起こる	leverage	最大限に活かす